Molecular detection of Trypanosoma theileri and a new Trypanocidal drug, a review
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ABSTRACT

Introduction. Trypanosoma theileri (T.theileri) is apathogenic, cosmopolitan and commensal protozoa of cattle. Despite apathogenic in healthy, but not in stressed cattle; it’s getting recent attention as a tool to tackle pathogenic ones. These days, researchers are giving due attention to study the biology and feasibility of T.theileri to use it as a model candidate for novel drug discovery. In addition, in-silico analysis using common antityrpanosome drug targets couldn’t show significant similarity both at DNA and protein level. Nevertheless, homologous sequences have been identified among drug targets for Ornithine decarboxylase. This indicated the possibility to consider T.theileri as a model to search novel drugs once having whole genome sequences. The SDM 79 is an appropriate medium to cultivate at 26 °C without CO₂. Gradient PCR amplification has been used to detect using T. theileri. The specific primer (Tth625) which reveals 465 bp amplification product and also the full length 18S ribosomal DNA sequence of T.theileri DNA detectable at 730 base pairs are commonly used. Whole genome and transcriptome analysis can show the phylogenetic relationship between T.theileri and other pathogenic Trypanosomes which can be the basis for novel drugs development. Aim. The purpose of this paper is to review the nature of Trypanosoma theileri, its molecular identification and also using its apathogenic nature as an opportunity to discover anew Trypanocidal drug.

INTRODUCTION

The Trypanosomatid parasites cause one of the most notorious human and animal Trypanosomiasis throughout Africa and South America. Even if trypanosomes are core causes of human (Sleeping sickness) and animal (Nagana) diseases, many other species are non-pathogenic [1]. Such apathogenic trypanosomatids are found worldwide, infecting a wide range of hosts. Among these, Trypanosoma theileri (T. theileri), is the one which is a ubiquitous, ‘truly cosmopolitan’ protozoan commensal of cattle found worldwide [1-3]. It was first described in cattle by Theiler, Laveran and Bruce in 1902 [4].

Natural infection can be found throughout all age of cattle though rare for those under a year old. Neither its life cycle nor host relationship is fully understood within the mammalian host. The principal vector(s) responsible for transmission of the parasite is Tabanidae. However, ticks (Hyalomma anatolicum and Boophilus microplus) have been also reported as a model candidate for novel drug discovery. In addition, in-silico analysis using common antityrpanosome drug targets couldn’t show significant similarity both at DNA and protein level. Nevertheless, homologous sequences have been identified among drug targets for Ornithine decarboxylase. This indicated the possibility to consider T.theileri as a model to search novel drugs once having whole genome sequences. The SDM 79 is an appropriate medium to cultivate at 26 °C without CO₂. Gradient PCR amplification has been used to detect using T. theileri. The specific primer (Tth625) which reveals 465 bp amplification product and also the full length 18S ribosomal DNA sequence of T.theileri DNA detectable at 730 base pairs are commonly used. Whole genome and transcriptome analysis can show the phylogenetic relationship between T.theileri and other pathogenic Trypanosomes which can be the basis for novel drugs development. Aim. The purpose of this paper is to review the nature of Trypanosoma theileri, its molecular identification and also using its apathogenic nature as an opportunity to discover anew Trypanocidal drug.

As a result of low parasitemia, the parasite is rarely detected during microscopic examination of the stained smears of peripheral blood; rather it lives for many years without being detected by such routine diagnostic technique [7]. Nevertheless, it can be detected by culturing peripheral blood using in vitro techniques. In order to isolate pathogenic African Trypanosomes, a kit called KIVI (Kit for In Vitro Isolation of trypanosome) was designed [8]. Similarly, Verloo et al. [2] proved that this kit can be used as an excellent tool to isolate T. theileri with a much higher sensitivity than the Roswell Park Memorial Institute medium (RPMI). On the other hand, there was evidence which endorsed the growth in RPMI medium easily [3]. However, the easier growth of T.theileri might reduce its efficiency to isolate pathogenic African Trypanosomes [2]. Furthermore,
The current drugs available to treat Trypanosomiasis are not satisfactory because they cause significant public health problem with toxic side effects and have poor efficacy [9]. Among these drugs, Pentamidine, Diminazeneaceturate (Berenil), Isometamidium chloride (Samorin), and Ethidium bromide, are important antitrypanosomal drugs [10]. Thus, there is an urgent need for new, improved antitrypanosomal drugs by relaying on the non-pathogenic T. theileri as a tool.

High-throughput screening (HTS) and virtual screening are used as a standard tool in drug discovery to identify novel lead compounds that target a biomolecule of interest. However, the latter is considered as a cost-effective tool [11, 12]. Trypanosomatid RNA editing can be used to identify drug target for protozoan parasites which are causing diseases like Trypanosomiasis. Amaro et al. [13] reported that RNA-editing ligase-1 (REL-1) can be used as drug-like inhibitors of a key enzyme in the editing Machinery. Identification of inhibitors was done through a strategy employing molecular dynamics to account for protein flexibility [13]. Currently, new parasitic inhibitors have been identified due to the availability of automated high content microscopy approach [14].

For a better pharmacology hypothesis and tests, the development of computational (In Silico) methods is also playing a significant role. This method comprises pharmacophores, databases, quantitative structure-activity relationships, homology models and other molecular modeling approaches, machine learning, network analysis tools and data analysis tools that use a computer. These are primarily used alongside the generation of in vitro data both to create the model and to test it. Such models have seen frequently used in the discovery and optimization of novel molecules with affinity to a target, the clarification of absorption, distribution, metabolism, excretion and toxicity properties as well as physicochemical characterization [11].

Genome sequence analysis is used to find out molecules which are responsible for controlling parasite’s pathogenicity, virulence, immunity and chemotherapeutics responses. Genetic analysis is vital to characterize targets for defining the degree of variation within a gene encoding an identified molecule as a potential drug target [15]. At present, for organisms with known genome sequence, the search for these molecules are undergone through the application of bioinformatics, which enhances the speed with which genes, can be identified. Further challenges remain in bioinformatics-based screening of compounds from predicted protein structure and the computerized docking of small molecules onto these structures as a means for high throughput screening of lead targets. Although the algorithms underlying these programs have improved significantly, the predictions are imperfect [15]. There are plenty of challenges for the development of tools for a trypanosome vaccine, new therapeutics or specific diagnostics. Genome sequence data, differential gene expression analysis and comparative genomics are playing significant role for identification of remarkable candidate of targets [15].

Though T. theileri is nonpathogenic naturally, but it can cause illness to stressed cattle. Moreover, little is known about the parasite so far. However, since recently, it has become area of interest by considering it as a tool and vector to treat the pathogenic microorganisms; particularly protozoan parasites. For instance, according to a report by Mott et al. [1]. T. theileri can offer significant potential to target multiple infections by using as a novel vehicle to transport targeted vaccine antigens and other protein of interest, and also has a potential to deliver therapeutics including the lytic factor to protect cattle from trypanosomiasis [1]. Furthermore, mixed infection they cause with pathogenic trypanosome on same host (cattle), and the presence of homologous sequences with specific sequences of anti-trypanosome drug targets from pathogenic Trypanosomes may guide us to use this parasite as a model candidate for the development of novel drugs to treat the pathogenic Trypanosomes. Though T. theileri is nonpathogenic naturally, but it can cause illness to stressed cattle. Moreover, little is known about the parasite so far. However, since recently, it has become area of interest by considering it as a tool and vector to treat the pathogenic microorganisms; particularly protozoan parasites. Therefore, the main objective of this paper is to review the possible detection ways and the role of T. theileri in new Trypanocidal drug discovery.

**DISCUSSION**

**Taxonomy**

Trypanosomes are members of the Order Kinetoplastida; Family Trypanosomatidae and Genus Trypanosoma. Based on the site of the parasite’s growth in the vector, they are further classified as Stercoraria
and Salivaria type. Stercorarian trypanosomes develop in the hindgut of the vector, following ingestion of infected blood meal, and infection is transmitted to new host through fecal contamination [6]. Trypanosomes from bovids and cervids formed the clade T. theileri in phylogenetic trees, which corresponds to the type-species of the subgenus Megatrypanum, a taxon comprised solely of trypanosomes from ruminants [16, 17].

Today, molecular testing has shown the phylogenetically useful genes at the clade, lineage, and genotype levels, supporting at least six genotypes within the clade T. theileri [17]. Moreover, Hoar [4] reclassified all trypanosomes from cattle and buffalo as T. theileri. Nevertheless, only cattle isolates should be considered synonyms of T. theileri, whereas all Megatrypanum isolates from species of Artiodactyla other than cattle, including those of other Bovidae spp. with the exception of goats and sheep, should be classified as T. theileri-like. Trypanosomes, morphologically similar to T. theileri have been identified at least 24 different species of ruminants. Besides T. theileri of cattle, only T. melophagium (sheep) and T. theodori (goat) are considered to be separate species occurring in Bovidae because experimental cross-infections have established their host restriction to vertebrate and invertebrate hosts. Similarly, the inability to infect bovids supports the separateness of the Cervidae trypanosomes T. cervi and T. mazamarum from T. theileri. The knowledge of most Megatrypanum spp. of any other order other than Artiodactyla is restricted to morphology of blood forms [18].

The sequencing of polymorphic internal transcribed spacer of ribosomal DNA (ITS rDNA), spliced leader (SL) and CATL genes disclosed two phylogenetic lineages, TthI and TthII, and five genotypes of T. theileri. Phylogenetic analysis clustered together all T. theileri isolates from cattle so far examined, from North and South America, Europe and Asia [16, 17, 19].

### Table 1. Taxonomy of Trypanosomes in general.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trypanozoon</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salivaria</td>
<td>T. brucei (T. brucei and related species mostly transmitted by tsetse flies)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nannomonas</td>
<td>T. cruzi (T. cruzi and related species transmitted by triatomine bugs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duttonella</td>
<td>Rodent (presumably transmitted by fleas)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pycnomonas</td>
<td>Other Trypanosoma clades</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schizotrypanum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stercoraria</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Megatrypanum</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>Aquatic (transmitted by insects and leeches, also includes trypanosomes of platypuses and aquatic tortoises)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>T. avium, T. bennetti, T. corvi, T. culicavium, T. gallinarum, T. polygranularis, T. anguiformis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>Avian (transmitted primarily by black flies and hippoboscid flies)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from Fraga et al. [20]

Morphology

Morphology is the traditional taxonomic criterion for the classification of *T. theileri* and allied species, which trypanosomes that share large blood trypomastigotes, restricted mammalian hosts, worldwide distribution, lack of pathogenicity and contaminative transmission by tabanid or hippoboscid flies [4]. It has been used as the exclusive taxonomic criterion to classify trypanosomes in the subgenus *Megatrypanum*, whose members have the largest mammalian blood trypanosomes with a small kinetoplast situated very close to the nucleus. Species within this subgenus are defined based on their host restriction. According to morphology and to the assumption that *Megatrypanum* spp. are mammalian host-specific, or at least infective to very closely related species, all isolates from cattle have been classified as *T. theileri*. It has been considered as an independent trypanosome occurring in distinct species and or races of Bovidae or in different countries, resulting in considerable synonymy [17].

In general, *T. Theilerii* is large in size (25-120 µm) long with long-pointed posterior end (Figure 1). The kinetoplast is large in size and has well developed undulating membrane and free flagellum. Both trypomastigote and epimastigote may occur in blood. Body is somewhat curved and is drawn to fine point at posterior end. Important character in this trypanosome is the possession of well-marked myonemes [17].

**Figure 1.** Photomicrograph of trypomastigotes found in blood-derived mononuclear cell cultures from different naturally infected cows, from San José del Nus, Antioquia, morphologically characterized as *T. theileri* [21].

Epidemiology

This species is a cosmopolitan parasite of cattle with high incidence on every continent except Antarctica [4,16]. There are numerous records of *T. theileri* in cattle. For example in Western Europe, *T. theileri* is the only trypanosome species occurring in cattle [2]. Hence, it has been reported in European countries (France, Germany, England, Scotland, Belgium, Italy and Spain), North America (USA, Canada), South America (Brazil) and Asia (Korea and Bangladesh) and Africa [2]. Besides cattle, morphologically indistinguishable trypanosomes related to *T. theileri* have been described in other domestic and wild artiodactyls, including buffalo, sheep, goat, antelopes, and cervids [4, 16].

Vector

A principal vector for *T. theileri* is Tabanidae (Diptera) flies, commonly known as horse flies, deer flies, clegs, or blind flies. Different species such as *Haematopota pluvialis*, *Tabanus glaucopis* and *T. striatus* were found as intermediate host experimentally [4]. It is among the nuisance pests for people and livestock because of their painful and irritating bite, persistent biting behavior, and blood ingestion. They are seasonally present in all kinds of landscapes, latitudes, and altitudes [22]. In addition, ticks can also maintain the development of *T. theileri*. A high infection rate of the tick with *T. theileri* was reported by Latif et al. [5]. Morzaria et al. [23] in the Sudan reported the first biological transmission of *T. theileri* to cattle by the tick, *H. a. anatolicum*. A similar study
was done in Brazil showed that epimastigote forms were found in the hemolymph of the cattle tick *Boophilus microplus* in the state of Rio Grande do Sul, southern Brazil [24].

**Life cycle**

So far, the life cycle of *T. theileri* within mammalian host has rarely been reported [3, 22]. It has an indirect life cycle and transmitted cyclically by tabanid. Trypanosomes undergo development in the hind gut of the tabanid flies [4, 25]. Cattle are infected commonly though contamination of the mucous membrane with flies faeces containing the small metacyclic forms (*Stercoraria*) [25]. In vitro infections showed that transmission occurs by excretion of metacyclic form in tabanid feces, which gain entrance into a new host either by the bite wound of the vector or by skin abrasions. Furthermore, ingestion of feces or the vector itself by the host can cause infection [26, 25].

The parasite has several development stages (Figure 2). Epimastigotes undergo multiplication asexually by binary fission in the lymph nodes and in various internal organs and in the bloodstream which is hardly detectable [19]. As epimastigotes and large trypomastigotes have been found in peripheral blood, flagellates are also found in extra-vascular sites of lymph nodes, kidney, spleen, and brain [27]. Based on the report by Bose et al. [26]: the infective stages were found in the hind gut and faeces of Tabanids. Although "amastigote-like" forms were described within bovine lymphocyte cultures, the existence of intracellular stages of *T. theileri* in tissues of vertebrates has not been reported [28].

![Morphological analysis of *T. theileri*](image)

**Figure 2.** Morphological analysis of *T. theileri*. Images of Giemsa-stained *T. theileri*. The trypomastigote form (upper panel) and epimastigote and intermediate forms (lower panel) were observed under a microscope [29].

**Transmission**

Megatrypanum spp. are cyclically transmitted by Tabanid and transmission is contaminative [26, 25]. Furthermore, infection of *T. theileri* established in the calves with the Trypanosomatid flagellates from the tick *H. a. anatolicum* [30]. The transmission was contaminative by excrements containing metacyclic trypomastigotes. In the vertebrate host, the stercorarian trypanosomes multiply as amastigotes or epimastigotes. Trypomastigote stages found in the peripheral blood, do not divide and their sole function is to spread the infection into other organs and enable the transmission into the vector. *T. theileri* and *T. melophagium* are stercorarian species transmitted by tabanid and hipoboscid flies to cows and sheep, respectively [31].
Pathogenesis

Despite its nonpathogenicity, detectable parasitemia is usually associated with concomitant disease, suggesting that host immunity is involved in parasite control [32]. The parasiteinduces chronic and cryptic infections which is potentially pathogenic for those under severe physical and nutritional stress, for newborn and pregnant cows, and when associated with concurrent infectious diseases. Prolonged infection persists without any clinical signs. The depression of the immune system is thought to allow for increased parasitemia and dispersion of T. theileri through several organs including central nervous system [27, 33-35].

Diagnosis and confirmation of T. theileri

So far, the diagnosis of this species requires isolation by blood culture, which is a laborious, time consuming and expensive method. Although no serological diagnostic method has been developed specifically for T. theileri, a lack of cross-reactivity has been demonstrated for methods used to diagnose T. evansi, T. congoense, T. vivax and T. b. brucei [36]. Furthermore, T. theileri can be distinguished from other trypanosomes by PCR-amplification of ITS rDNA, SL and CATL sequences [16, 17, 37]. Nevertheless, such molecular studies are limited by the low levels of parasitemia; by rare parasites in blood smears despite positive homocultures [16, 17].

a) Cell Culture

Isolation of T. theileri from whole or defibrinated blood of infected cattle can be done in a variety of culture media. Though it is easier to isolate the organism, its continuous maintenance in culture is much more difficult. The presence of blood cells, the temperature of cultivation and the type of culture vessel used appear to be important [38]. Cultivation at room temperature results in multiplication in the crithidial stage, and only in a few instances has the organism been cultivated at 37°C in the trypanosome stage [39]. Attempts by Herbert [38] to obtain continuous culture of T. theileri at 37°C were unsuccessful. Later, T. theileri in the trypanosome form has been reported as a contaminant in cultures, at 37°C, of normal bovine fetal kidney cells or blood lymphocytes and lymph node cells of cattle with lymphatic leukemia or viral diarrhea. Tissue culture fluids, supplemented with newborn calf serum and blood or blood products, are suitable for the isolation and continuous cultivation of T. theileri at 37°C [39]. Cultivation of the trypanosomes at 37°C has been reported in non-defined or partially defined monophasic liquid media containing erythrocytes or erythrocyte products, in embryonal chicken eggs and in various types of cell cultures [40]. Furthermore, the KIVI culture system has been designed for the isolation of pathogenic African trypanosomes, particularly, T. Bruciei gambiens which is found applicable for T. theileri too [8]. Similarly, Rosewell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI) based culture systems have been described for culturing bloodstream forms of T. b. brucei [41]. Hence, in an attempt to isolate a stock of T. theileri, blood samples can be inoculated into both RPMI 10%-feeder and KIVI culture medium [2].

b) Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)

A large variety of molecular diagnostic teststhave been developed for human and animal African trypanosomiasis based on detection of trypanosome DNA or RNA [42]. It can be applied on all biological specimens that may contain the parasite’s nucleic acids such as blood, CSF, lymph node and chancre aspirate, biopsy and histological material. Even from stained microscopic slides, DNA can be extracted for subsequent PCR [42, 43]. PCR allow discrimination of the trypanosome species in one single run and even in the same specimen in case of mixed infection. For instance, the 18S-PCR-RFLP where cleaving of the amplicon with two restriction enzymes generating fragment profiles that are characteristic for T. congoense, T. vivax, Trypanozoon and T. theileri (Table 6) [43]. Similarly, differential diagnosis can be obtained with the less complex ITS1 PCR which generates amplicons of taxon specific lengths [37, 44]. Species specific PCRs can be also hampered by genomic variation within the target taxon. According to Njiru [45], a Loop mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) of DNA is a less demanding technology that may prove a real breakthrough in molecular diagnosis. In LAMP, DNA is amplified without prior heat denaturation and the amplicons are visualised by turbidimetry, colori metric reactions or fluorescence [46].

c) Two-Dimensional Gel Electrophoresis (2-DE)

After having sequenced whole genomes, better understanding of cellular mechanisms relies on studying the functional units of gene expression-proteins. Among these approaches in proteomics the use of two-dimensional gel electrophoresis as a means of separation and visualization of complex protein mixtures extracted from biological samples. Despite several limitations of the method, its ability to separate large numbers of proteins, including their post-translationally modified forms, ensures that it will continue to be popular in several well-defined areas of proteomics [47, 48]. Gene expression profiling is the survey of a large
number of genes and/or their expression products, typically in an effort to identify differentially expressed genes, or broad patterns of gene expression under different experimental conditions. The utility of gene expression profiling for understanding molecular processes, elucidation of drug target interactions, clinical diagnosis, etc., cannot be overstated. Several DNA based methods for profiling of gene expression have been previously described [48]. Two-dimensional electrophoresis (2-D electrophoresis) is a powerful and popular technique to sort proteins based on two independent properties and discrete steps: the first-dimension step, isoelectric focusing (IEF), separates proteins according to their isoelectric points (pI); the second-dimension step, SDS-Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE), separates proteins according to their molecular weights. Each spot on the resulting two-dimensional array corresponds to a single protein species in the sample. Many different proteins can be separated, and information like pI, apparent molecular weight, and the amount of each protein is obtained [49, 50]. It has also a distinct capability to detect post- and co-translational modifications, which cannot be predicted from the genome sequence. Its applications include proteome analysis, cell differentiation, and detection of disease markers, monitoring therapies, drug discovery, cancer research, purity checks, and micro-scale protein purification. Furthermore, precast IPG strips are used (Immobiline Dry Strip gels) which is available from Amersham Biosciences [51].

d) High Throughput Microscopy

High throughput microscopy (HTM) shows images which are generated automatically upon many different treatments for a certain period. This technology has opened the way to conduct large-scale, image-based screens to discover novel genes and their functions [52]. Now days, there are several commercially available platforms of high-content high-throughput microscopy. They consist a fully automated wide-field or confocal microscope with specialized hardware and software to manage large sets of information. They are equipped with state-of-the-art optics enabling a broad range of fluorescence excitation and multi-channel which enables to detect several spectrally distinct fluorophores parallelly. Such imaging platforms are capable of imaging at different magnifications, which assists in imaging of both large populations of cells or sub-cellular details in a group of selected cells [53]. For systematic analyses of protein function, quantitative fluorescence microscopy is now becoming one of the tools of choice in large-scale [54]. Compared to a non-microscopic screening approaches, fluorescence microscopy provides information at the single-cell or even sub-cellular level. It is enables an enhanced statistical data analyses for the identification of distinct phenotypic populations in one culture treated in similar way. On the other hand, Microscopic analyses can also be performed in living cells for extended periods of time to return vital data on the dynamics of fluorescent molecules [53, 55], or the order of occurrence of phenotypes during the observation period [56]. Overall, to apply this technology, there are several steps and optimization involved in an experiment. These are sample preparation, image acquisition, image analysis, data storage and handling, data mining and modelling (Figure 3). Hence, it enables unsupervised data collection with high information content on the temporal and spatial distributions and states of fluorescent markers [54, 53].

![Figure 3. The principle: Steps in high-content high-throughput microscopy; adapted from Starkuvine and Pepperkok [53].](https://dx.doi.org/10.5114/jlhb.2020.53149/fig.3)
Current state of therapy and recent developments

The idea of specific chemotherapy was developed a century ago by Paul Ehrlich and others. Dyes and arsenical compounds that displayed selectivity against trypanosomes [57].

Commonly used drugs to treat sleeping sickness

Since half a century ago, we have been using only certain drugs to treat Human African Trypanosomiasis (HAT). There is a very limited arsenical drug with shortcomings such as high toxicity with emerging-resistance. Early stages of HAT are treated with pentamidine, an aromatic diamidine, and suramin, a naphtaline derivative. Adverse effects for these drugs are significant and the failure rate is high. Late stages of HAT are treated with Melarsoprol, a melaminophenyl arsenical compound that is able to cross the blood brain barrier [58]. Melarsoprol (Arsenicals) have been the most effective drugs universally to treat sleeping sickness despite resistance of trypanosomes to toxic patients. Side effects are severe and up to 5% of those treated die of drug-induced reactive encephalopathy [58]. On the other hand, Pentamidine, Suramin and Berenil® are active only in the first stage of the disease when the parasite is restricted to the blood and lymphatic circulatory system [36, 59]. However, neither of these compounds crosses the blood-brain barrier to treat central nervous system infections. On the contrary, Nifurtimox and alpha-difuoro-methylornithine provide interesting alternatives to treat the central nervous system infection. Nevertheless, DFMO is known to be less active against T. rhodesiense [60].

Recently, eflornithine-nifurtimox combined therapy is launched and found the safest treatment for late-stage trypanosomiasis [59]. This mixed drug allows significant reduction of eflornithine dose and treatment duration. However, like eflornithine alone, this combined therapy is only effective in the second stage of T. b. gambiense infections. This leaves melarsoprol which causes reactive encephalopathy in about 10% of treated patients, as the only effective drug against both T. b. rhodesiense and T. b. gambiense late-stage infections. Because of this, the increasing rate of melarsoprol treatment failures is alarming [61].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No</th>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Use</th>
<th>Problem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Suramin</td>
<td>Effective against early stage of T. b. rhodesiense</td>
<td>Ineffective against early stage of T. b. gambiense and late stage of both HATs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Pentamidine</td>
<td>Effective against early stage of T. b. gambiense</td>
<td>Ineffective against early stage of T. b. rhodesiense and late stage of both HATs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Melarsoprol</td>
<td>Effective against late stage of both HATs</td>
<td>Toxic (kills up to 5% of patients) Resistance observed in field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Eflornithine</td>
<td>Effective against late stage of T. b. gambiense</td>
<td>Ineffective against late stage of T. b. rhodesiense Difficult dosing scheme, and cost of hospitalisation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from Wilkinson and Kelly [62].

Commonly used drugs to treat animal trypanosomiasis

These days, several chemotherapeutic agents have been used to treat animal trypanosomiasis. However, most of these have a narrow therapeutic index which makes administration of the correct dose essential. Drug resistance occurs and should be considered in refractory cases [63].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Animal</th>
<th>Trypanosoma</th>
<th>Main Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diminazene Aceturate</td>
<td>Cattle</td>
<td>Vivax, congoense, brucei</td>
<td>Curative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homidium Bromide</td>
<td>Cattle, Equids</td>
<td>Vivax, congoense, brucei</td>
<td>Curative, some prophylactic activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isometamidium Chloride</td>
<td>Cattle</td>
<td>Vivax, congoense</td>
<td>Curative and prophylactic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quinapyramine Sulfate</td>
<td>Horses, camels, pigs, dogs</td>
<td>Vivax, congoense, brucei, evansi, equiperdum, si mine</td>
<td>Curative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quinapyramine Dimethylsulfate</td>
<td>Horses, camels, pigs, dogs</td>
<td>Vivax, congoense, brucei, evansi, equiperdum, si mine</td>
<td>Prophylactic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suramin</td>
<td>Horses, camels, dogs</td>
<td>Brucei, evansi</td>
<td>Curative, some prophylactic activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melarsomine Dichlorhydrate</td>
<td>Camels</td>
<td>Evansi</td>
<td>Curative</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from Cynthia et al. [63].

---

Mechanism of actions

Arsenical drugs that are used to treat late-stage African trypanosomiasis kill cells sooner in low drug dosage. It results in oxidative stress via nonspecific covalent binding to thiol groups [64]. Whereas, Difluorornithine (DFMO/Eflornithine) is a suicide inhibitor of ornithine decarboxylase [65]. Furthermore, Isometamidium is an ethidium bromide derivative which intercalates selectively in the kDNA. As a consequence, it affects the kDNA integrity by preventing network division [66]. Pentamidine, Diminazeneaceturate (Berenil), Isometamidium chloride (Samorin), and Ethidium bromide, which are important antitrypanosomal drugs, promote linearization of Trypanosoma equiperdum minicircle DNA. This effect occurs at therapeutically relevant concentrations [10]. Having know-how on the mechanism of action of antitrypanosomal drug efficacy and resistance, will help us to develop a new therapeutic principle to combat drug resistance and contribute to have the idea on the mode of actions of anti-trypanosomal resistance at molecular level [14].

Now a day, there is a breakthrough of T. theileri which has been used as a novel vehicle to deliver vaccine antigens and other proteins to control pathogenic trypanosomatids [1]. Favorable conditions for the growth and transfection of T. theileri have been optimised and expressed heterologous proteins targeted for secretion or specific localisation at the cell interior or surface using trafficking signals. Engineered vehicle could establish in the context of a pre-existing natural T. theileri population, was maintained long-term and generated specific immune responses to an expressed Babesia antigen at protective levels. It also has the potential to deliver therapeutics to cattle, including the lytic factor which protects humans from cattle trypanosomiasis [1].

Drug resistance

Drug resistance is a major impediment in the treatment of the diseases given that existing drugs are old with severe side effects. Most resistance mechanisms developed by these parasites are related with a decreased uptake or increased efflux of the drug due to mutations or altered expression of membrane transporters. Different new approaches have been elaborated that can overcome these mechanisms of resistance including the use of inhibitors of efflux pumps and drug carriers for both active and passive targeting [61].

The two principal drugs, Melarsoprol and Pentamidine have been used to treat both sleeping sickness in humans and Nagana in livestock. However, since half a century ago, cross-resistance to these drugs was found and remains the only example of cross-resistance among sleeping sickness therapies. Adenosine transporter in T. brucei, is well-known for its role in the uptake of these drugs. Furthermore, aquaglyceroporin-2 (AQP2) loss-of-functions was linked to melarsoprol-pentamidine cross-resistance. AQP2, a channel that appears to facilitate drug accumulation, may also be linked to clinical cases of resistance [67].

Target sequences screening

At the molecular level, the main targets for drugs are proteins (mainly enzymes, receptors and transport proteins) and nucleic acids [68]. Drugs bind to their corresponding targets and perform the desirable therapeutic effects. These days, the identified drug targets have been stored in databases such as DrugBank [59] Therapeutic Target Database (TTD), Potential Drug Target Database (PDTD) and TDR Targets Database [70, 71].

Searching for novel genes required for survival or virulence of any organism was based on several genetic methods involving genetic crosses, gene knockouts and induced mutations, followed by screening for the relevant phenotype. In trypanosomes, these approaches have been hampered by the inability to generate sufficient numbers of progeny clones from genetic crosses and the lack of a fine genetic map. These problems have affected the ability to determine precisely the statistical significance of segregating phenotypes in relation to the number of loci or alleles determining the trait of interest [72].

Current methods for target-based drug discovery usually involve screening diverse or focused libraries against the molecular target (Table 4). The hits are then characterized and optimized through rounds of design, synthesis and testing against protein and cell. The testing usually includes assays for potency, selectivity and pharmacokinetic properties. In terms of target validation, it is important to prove that the compounds are acting on-target for inhibition or modulation of the target that is causing the death of the parasite. The compounds are then assayed in animal models of infection. It is only at this stage that it becomes clear if the proposed drug target has a reasonable degree of validation. It shows that it takes both time and resource before getting evidences which shows the target chosen, is truly valid drug target. Therefore, it is important to choose drug targets very carefully at the outset of a drug discovery programme [9].
Elucidation of molecular targets is very important for lead optimization during the drug development process. A direct method to find targets of antitrypanosomal compounds against *T. brucei* using a trypanosome overexpression library was done by Begolo et al. [73]. As proof of concept, the library was treated with Difluoromethylornithine and DDD85646 and identified their respective targets, ornithine decarboxylase and *N*-myristoyltransferase. The overexpression library could be a useful tool to study the modes of action of novel antitrypanosomal drug candidates [73].

RNA interference (RNAi) is a phenomenon through which double stranded RNA induces potent sequence-specific degradation of homologous transcripts. Besides its function in cellular defense and developmental regulation, it has emerged as an invaluable tool for elucidation of gene function and drug target validation. This is particularly useful when substantial genome sequence data are available. Gene silencing using RNAi can aid translation of raw genomic sequence data into biologically relevant information toward the development of new and/or improved control strategies [74].

### Table 4. Common antitrypanosomal drug targets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drugs</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Sources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Difluoromethylornithine</td>
<td>Ornithine decarboxylase</td>
<td>XM_824336</td>
<td><em>T. brucei</em></td>
<td>Begolo et al. [73]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AF042286</td>
<td><em>T. brucei gambiense</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>J02771</td>
<td><em>T. brucei</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. DDD85646</td>
<td><em>N</em>-myristoyltransferase</td>
<td>XM_822811</td>
<td><em>T. brucei</em></td>
<td>Begolo et al. [73]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>XM_009314999</td>
<td><em>T. grayi</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HQ597038</td>
<td><em>T. cruzi</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Pentamidine, Diminazene and Suramin</td>
<td>Cytoplasmic serine Oligopeptidase (OP-Tb)</td>
<td>XP_009306351</td>
<td><em>T. grayi</em></td>
<td>Rory et al. [75]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Proteasome inhibitors</td>
<td>Trypanosomal proteasome exhibiting high trypsin-like but low chymotrypsin-like activities</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><em>T. brucei</em></td>
<td>Dietmar and Kevin [76]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Cysteine protease inhibitors, Peptidyl inhibitors and Non-peptidyl inhibitors)</td>
<td>Cathepsin L-Like Cysteine Protease (Brucipain and Cruzipain)</td>
<td>XM_009318006</td>
<td><em>T. grayi</em></td>
<td>Dietmar and Kevin [76]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GU299414</td>
<td><em>T. theileri</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GU299413</td>
<td><em>T. theileri</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GU299412</td>
<td><em>T. theileri</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GU299411</td>
<td><em>T. theileri</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GU299410</td>
<td><em>T. theileri</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>XP_009316281</td>
<td><em>T. grayi</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Pyruvate kinase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NCBI database</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. DNA topoisomerase inhibitors</td>
<td>DNA topoisomerases</td>
<td>DQ8897563</td>
<td><em>T. cruzi</em></td>
<td>Aline et al. [77], Dietmar and Kevin [76]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Camptothecins, Anthracyclines, mitoxantrone and Fluoroquinolones)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Tubulin directed drugs and Inhibition of lipid synthesis</td>
<td>Tubulin</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><em>T. brucei</em></td>
<td>Dietmar and Kevin [76]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

High-throughput screening of trypanosome RNA interference (RNAi) libraries is playing a significant role in understanding drug mechanism of action and uptake [78]. However, it’s becoming challenging to use such reduced-function approach to find out specific drug targets. Usually, drugs mode of action of antitrypanosomal drug is by inhibiting essential enzymes. Even without using drug, depleting the target can be life threatening.
Theoretically, weak RNAi result in slowly growing cells with enhanced drug susceptibility. In normal circumstance, there are coincidences of the cells to die without adding drug for treatment. It implies that as a result of loss of function of one of cellular path way inside, there is an opportunity of the cell to be more susceptible to drug than the targeted pathway. Hence, gain-of-function approaches are the best choice for direct determination of the targets of drug of choice [79].

An experiment done by Alsford et al. [80], on five current HAT drugs for genome-scale RNA interference (RNAi) target sequencing (RIT-seq) screens in T. brucei were used, revealing the transporters, organelles, enzymes and metabolic pathways that function to facilitate anti-trypanosomal drug action. RIT-seq profiling identifies both known drug importers and the only known pro-drug activator, and links more than fifty additional genes to drug action [80].

A specific bloodstream stage invariant surface glycoprotein (ISG75) family mediates suramin uptake while the AP-1, lysosomal proteases and major lysosomal transmembrane protein and alsospermidine and N-acetylglucosamine biosynthesis all contribute to suramin action [80]. If an antitrypanosomal drug inhibits the function of a single protein, then over expression of that protein or a fragment containing the drug binding site could result in reduced drug sensitivity. Due to loss of function of a single protein by antitrypanosomal drug, over expression of specific protein of interest or part of it with drug binding site is happened; consequently it leads to loss of drug sensitivity [81]. Glycolysis is perceived as a promising target for new drugs against parasitic trypanosomal protozoa, because this pathway plays an essential role in their ATP supply. However, bloodstream form T. theileri degrades glucose to acetate (47%) and succinate (45%) and, therefore, does not solely rely on glycolysis for ATP production. This trypanosomalid does not use amino acids for energy metabolism. Potential criteria to aid target selection are described below [9].

### Table 5. Criteria for molecular targets developed [82, 83].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Green</th>
<th>Amber</th>
<th>Red</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Essentiality</strong></td>
<td>Genetic and chemical validation that the target is essential for survival of the organism</td>
<td>Genetic or chemical validation that the target is essential for survival of the organism</td>
<td>No or weak genetic or chemical validation that the target is essential for survival of the organism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Druggability</strong></td>
<td>Drug-like, small molecule inhibitors are known and there is a druggable active site (clinical activity within the target family)</td>
<td>Drug-like, small molecule inhibitors are known or the active site is potentially druggable</td>
<td>No drug-like, small molecule inhibitors are known and the active site is not druggable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assayability</strong></td>
<td>Robust assay in plate format amenable to high-throughput screening developed and active protein supply assured within appropriate time-lines</td>
<td>In vitro assay exists, development into robust, plate format feasible, but not yet achieved</td>
<td>No in vitro assay developed and/or significant problems with protein supply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Resistance potential</strong></td>
<td>No human homologue of the target present, or the human homologue is known to be non-essential and inhibition of this shows no effect on the human host</td>
<td>Human homologue of the target is present, but evidence (structural or chemical) that selective inhibition is possible</td>
<td>Human homologue of the target is present and little or no evidence (structural or chemical) that selective inhibition is possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Toxicity potential</strong></td>
<td>Structure without ligand available and/or poor resolution (&lt;2.3 Å) or opportunity to build a good homology model (high sequence homology to homologue)</td>
<td>Ligand-bound structure of targetor ligand in closely related homologue available at high resolution (&lt;2.3 Å)</td>
<td>No structure of target or closely related homologue</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adopted from Gilbert [9].
### Table 6. Primers for *T. theileri*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer (forward and reverse)</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thb625a (5' - CCG CTG CTA AGA ATA GA-3') and Thb625b (5'-AAT TGC ATA AAC ACA GCT CCC-3')</td>
<td>For species-specific PCR amplification (Thb625-PCR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forward primer 18S rRNA (5'-CAA TTA TAAAAATT GGA GA-3') and Reverse primer 18S rRNA (5'-TTC AGG ATT GGG AAC ATC C-3')</td>
<td>The full length 18S ribosomal DNA sequence Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kini reverse (5'- GCC TTC AAA GAT TGG GCA AT-3') and Kini forward (5'-CGC CCG AAA GTT CAC C-3')</td>
<td>For single PCR amplification to anneal internal transcribed spacer of ribosomal genes (ITS) sequence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Lee et al. [3], Rodrigues et al. [16] and Geyten et al. [43]

---

### Trypanosome genomics

Two complementary approaches are being followed in sequencing the trypanosome genome: genomic DNA sequencing and expressed sequence tag (EST) sequencing. Initial data emerging from the *T. brucei* shotgun genomics sequencing indicate that the overall sequence organization of chromosomes is distinct from that of other high eukaryotic organisms. For example, trypanosome genes are very tightly packed on chromosomes, which might explain in part the high incidence of alternative splicing of pre-mRNAs and the generation of polycistronic RNAs. The transcripts appear to be unidirectional over long stretches of the chromosomes [84].

The availability of genome sequence data has facilitated the development of high-throughput genetic screening approaches in microbial pathogens. In the African trypanosome, *T. brucei*, genome-scale RNA interference screens have proven particularly effective in this regard. These allow for identification of the genes that contribute to a pathway or mechanisms of interest. The approach has been used to assess loss-of-function, revealing the genes and proteins required for parasite viability and growth. Consequently, the results from these screens predict essential and dispensable genes which facilitate drug target prioritization efforts. The approach has also been used to assess resistance to anti-trypanosomal drugs, revealing the genes and proteins that facilitate drug uptake and action. These outputs also highlight likely mechanisms underlying clinically relevant drug resistance [85].

### CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Though *T. theileri* is nonpathogenic naturally, but it can cause illness to stressed cattle. Moreover, little is known about the parasite so far. However, since recently, it has become area of interest by considering it as a tool and vector to treat the pathogenic microorganisms; particularly protozoan parasites. *T. theileri* grows successfully in vitro in SDM 79 at 26 °C. The growth pattern, viability and its response for pentamidine can be checked through resazurin assay. The parasite (*T. theileri*), is confirmed using PCR amplification by species specific primers. Furthermore, the BLAST and MSA with common antitrypanosome target sequences. Subsequently, there is no significant similarity both at DNA and protein level with *T. theileri* unlike the antitrypanosome targets from pathogenic Trypanosomes. There is a chance to not to isolate the parasite immediately as a result of low parasitemia naturally. Hence, most of the isolation of *T. theileri* from natural infection was not confirmed purposively. Rather, it has been found during unexpectedly time. It can be isolated while researchers were doing for other objectives. For example, during macrophage culture, Total leukocyte and differential counts, PCV, while studying Bovine leukemia virus (BLV) from lymphocyte cultures of cows infected with it.
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